

Internal Assessment Review

Teacher: Mr. Brackrog

Student:

IB Candidate Number:

Commentary:

Topic: Score:

CHIEHUH A. DIAUFAHS	Criterion	A: I	Diagi	rams
---------------------	-----------	------	-------	------

This criterion assesses the extent to which the student is able to construct and use diagrams.

- 0 The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
- 1 Relevant diagrams are included but not explained, or the explanations are incorrect.
- 2 Relevant, accurate and correctly labelled diagrams are included, with a limited explanation.
- 3 Relevant, accurate and correctly labelled diagrams are included, with a full explanation.

Criterion B: Terminology

This criterion assesses the extent to which the student uses appropriate economic terminology.

- 0 The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
- 1 Terminology relevant to the article is included in the commentary.
- 2 Terminology relevant to the article is used appropriately throughout the commentary.

Criterion C: Application

This criterion assesses the extent to which the student recognises, understands and applies economic information in the context of the article.

- O The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
- 1 Relevant economic concepts and/or theories are applied to the article.
- 2 Relevant economic concepts and/or theories are applied to the article appropriately throughout the commentary.

Criterion D: Analysis

This criterion assesses the extent to which the student can explain and develop appropriate economic theories and/or concepts in the context of the article.

- 0 The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
- 1 There is limited economic analysis relating to the article.
- 2 There is appropriate economic analysis relating to the article.
- 3 There is effective economic analysis relating to the article.

Criterion E: Evaluation

This criterion assesses the extent to which the student synthesizes his or her analysis in order to make judgments that are supported by reasoned arguments.

- 0 The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
- 1 Judgments are made that are unsupported, or supported, by incorrect reasoning.
- 2 Judgments are made that are supported by limited reasoning.
- 3 Judgments are made that are supported by appropriate reasoning.
- 4 Judgments are made that are supported by effective and balanced reasoning.